America's
FUTURE

A Weekly Review of News, Books and Public Affairs

Volume 3 October 20, 1961 Number 42

Full Circle on a Red Campaign 1
Boomerang? 2
Eleanor and the UN 4
Man's Humanity . . . Book Review 5
Rank Among Nations 7
Two Notable Films 7

FULL CIRCLE ON A RED CAMPAIGN

Five months ago the American Communist Party began a campaign to muzzle American military officers engaged in informing and alerting their troops and the people to the communist conspiracy. It is hard to believe, but that Red campaign came full circle last week in an order issued by Secretary of Defense McNamara, the effect of which is to gag the military. This does not mean, of course, that Secretary McNamara and other Washington officials are consciously playing the Reds' game. But it indicates that too many of our leaders are still unaware of the devious and subtle means by which the Reds put over their objectives on innocent Americans.

Three years ago, American military services were ordered to set up a program which would alert the armed forces and the people to the menace of communism. During the last year or so, this essential and necessary program began to have its effects. Military men skilled in the ways of the Red infiltrators joined

with nonpartisan and highly respectable institutes to lay the facts before the people. Others, like General Edwin A. Walker, set up study courses among their troops. Naturally, all this got under the skins of the Reds. So last June the American Communist Party, acting under a manifesto previously issued by Moscow, began an attack on these anti-communist programs. Somehow or other – no one is ever able to explain how these things happen –this campaign against the military was taken up by the New York *Times* and other so-called 'liberal' papers, and then by Senator Fulbright in his now famous memorandum to the Pentagon. Meantime, General Walker and other military men found themselves removed or transferred from the posts in which they had been alerting their troops. The 'muzzling of the military' became a great national issue –so much so that, to everyone's surprise, the Democratic-controlled Senate Armed Services Committee voted overwhelmingly to investigate the subject.

Then, after Congress adjourned, and before the Senate committee got under way with its study, Secretary McNamara made the gag on the military official. Meantime, it is rumored that certain members of the committee would like to let this badly needed investigation drag along and die. It remains to be seen whether these are only rumors. It also remains to be seen whether the American people will take this whole campaign lying down – a campaign begun originally by the Reds and then taken up by loyal but deluded politicians.

BOOMERANG?

Secretary McNamara's order to civilian and military officials of the Defense Department obviously was designed to prevent it from being labeled as a 'gag' or 'muzzle.' The old bogeyman of 'political partisanship' on the part of the military is dragged in, presumably in an attempt to make the order palatable to the American people. No one in the United States, of course, disputes the fact that the military should not engage in partisan politics. But we still await an explanation of how information and facts about the communist conspiracy can be

America's
FUTURE

Published every week by America's Future, Inc., 542 Main Street, New Rochelle, New York. A non-profit, educational organization.

R. K. Scott . . . . . Publisher
Rosalie M. Gordon . . . . . Editor
John C. Wetzel . . . . Business Manager

Subscription Price: $5 per year, $12 for three years. Ten week trial subscription $1. Additional copies of specific issues: 1 copy for 15¢; 10 copies for $1; 50 for $4; 100 for $6; 1,000 for $30 — each price for bulk mailing to one person.

Second class mail privileges authorized at New Rochelle, New York.

classed as partisan politics. Republicans and Democrats alike who understand the aims and techniques of communism, put aside their political differences when it comes to facing this modern-day menace. For example, the Committee of One Million, which alerts us to the facts about Red China, contains the names of men and women of all shades of political opinion. But they are one in their determination to expose and fight the Chinese Red menace. Are the American military and their troops, who must be our first line of defense, expected to do less?

Secretary McNamara says defense personnel can take part in public-information programs only if 'the views they express are nonpartisan in character and in accordance with established national policy.' Every military man who has his career at stake, and who understands Washington gobbledegook, knows what that means. He has only to be reminded of what happened to General Walker. In case there are any doubts left in his mind, on the same day on which Secretary McNamara issued his order (the New York *Times* headlined it 'McNamara Bans Partisan Talks') an Air Force information officer in California was transferred to Alabama because he made some speeches. Were they 'partisan political' speeches, as the muzzlers would like us to believe? No, they were *anti-communist* speeches. In another instance, Major A. E. Roberts has charged that his ten-year career as an Army information officer was halted because he assisted General Walker in formulating the anti-communist training course for our troops in Germany.

All this leads some observers to believe that the Kennedy administration – perhaps under the prodding of the ivory-tower New Frontiersmen – has put itself in the path of a powerful boomerang.

These observers couple the drive against anti-communist officers with Secretary McNamara's phrase that anything they say must be 'in accordance with established national policy.' The observers correctly state that the time is past in America when you can be neutral about communism – that if you are not anti-communist then it must be assumed that you are pro-communist. Therefore, they reason, when the Kennedy administration reverses the three-year-old order to the military that they alert the armed forces and the people to the menace of communism, when it gags anti-communist officers and declares they can express their views only 'in accordance with established national policy,' the administration is laying itself open to the charge that that policy is pro-communist. This certainly may be stretching things a bit, but it is felt in many quarters in Washington that the American people will not now accept a policy which is anti-communist in words but not in deeds. They say that anti-communist talk by Washington officials is no longer enough –that they must also *act* anti-communist, and that includes un-muzzling the military men who would alert us and our troops to the menace we face in the world at large and here at home.

ELEANOR AND THE UN

The need for deeds instead of words is seen in a recent demand by the Committee for One Million. The secretary of this Committee, Mr. Marvin Liebman, recently wired the President demanding that he ask for Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt's resignation as special advisor to the American delegation to the United Nations. This demand arose out of an incident which was very sparsely reported, if at all, in great metropolitan 'liberal' newspapers. According to the *words* issuing from Washington, our 'established national policy' is *against* the admission of the communist slave-masters of Red China to membership in the UN. But Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt who, we repeat, is special advisor to the American delegation to the UN, made a speech in North Carolina a couple of weeks ago in which she called *for* the admission of Red China to the United Nations. Mr. Lieb-

man's telegram to President Kennedy pointed out that Mrs. Roosevelt is entitled to her opinion, but in her official post at the UN, she is advocating a policy in direct opposition to the announced policy of the administration, the American Congress and the people of the United States. Therefore, she should be removed from this official post so that she can express her views as a private citizen without, as Mr. Liebman said, 'acting contrary to official United States policy.' The telegram was sent two weeks ago; at our last check there had been no reply from President Kennedy. But his Secretary of State saw fit last week to appear on a TV show with Mrs. Roosevelt, where he took occasion to remark that if the Berlin crisis deepened it would be turned over (we'll give you one guess) to the tender mercies of the United Nations.

Foregoing items covered in Mutual network broadcast 10/15/61

* *

Book Review
MAN'S HUMANITY

AN AMERICAN EPIC, Vol. 3, *by Herbert Hoover, 592 pages, Regnery, Chicago, $6.50.*

With this volume, former President Herbert Hoover completes the monumental story of American succor of the hungry, the weak, the dispossessed during and following World War I. The first volume told the full story of the epochal struggle to save Belgium and Northern France from the devastation of war. The second volume told the story of the effort behind the front lines in 45 famine-stricken nations. This last volume tells of the battle against hunger on the front lines in the same nations. Mr. Hoover directed them all. Had he made no other contribution - and he has made many - to easing the lot of his fellow men on this earth, he could be justly proud of this one alone. Yet in his innate modesty, he injects himself as little as possible into the story, letting the documents and facts speak for themselves. But the American people can be equally proud, for this is truly An American Epic - an inspiring story not of man's inhumanity to man but of his humanity.

Aside from its sheer interest, there are two aspects of the current volume that have special significance today. The first has to do with the communist mind – the type of mind with which some of our foolish leaders still believe a rapprochement is possible. The present volume contains the complete story of the successful effort of the American Relief Administration, which Mr. Hoover headed, to save millions upon millions of Russians from starvation in the terrible famine of the early 1920's. At the time, Soviet officials fell over themselves to express their gratitude to Herbert Hoover and the American people. But 'gratitude' in the Soviet lexicon is an instrument of state policy. It wasn't long before communist writers and propagandists began distorting all the facts about the American relief effort. Distortion is perhaps too mild a word because, according to the Russians, Herbert Hoover became a dirty capitalist who wanted to make money for himself out of the relief effort – they actually accused him of murdering the people whom he helped save from starvation! As Mr. Hoover says: 'The communists soon began to realize that an expression of gratitude to a free nation was a violation of the primary tenet of communism.'

The other important aspect of the story, in these days of government-to-government handouts, is the manner in which this gigantic relief effort was carried out. There can be little doubt that Mr. Hoover accomplished a great deal more with a thousand dollars than our Washington handout bureaucracy accomplishes with a million. How did he do it? First, his effort had the wholehearted support not only of the American people but of the peoples, including their governments, whom he was trying to help. Second, while there was governmental support for the effort, large parts of it were supported by private contributions. Third, the money was handled by dedicated volunteers, many of them distinguished members of their communities – not by an army of federal bureaucrats whose primary interest is that they continue to feed at the government trough. Most important of all, in the final analysis, Mr. Hoover was dealing directly with people –not with governments. The result

was that he brought to them, in the name of the American people, food to fill their empty stomachs, clothing to cover their bare bodies, medicine to heal their wounds, and seed to fertilize their devastated acres. It is too much to hope, we suppose, that the Washington giveaway bureaucrats will compare this with roads that crumble, machinery that hungry and illiterate people don't know what to do with, and marble palaces for heads of 'emerging' nations.

– Rosalie Gordon

RANK AMONG NATIONS

"There is a rank due to the United States among nations which will be withheld, if not absolutely lost, by the reputation of weakness. If we desire to avoid insult, we must be able to repel it; if we desire to secure peace...it must be known that we are at all times ready for war."

– from an address to Congress (Dec. 3, 1793) by President GEORGE WASHINGTON

TWO NOTABLE FILMS

For groups, clubs, organizations interested in bringing to their friends and members the facts about the communist conspiracy, two notable films are now available. Both are 16 mm., sound films which run one-half hour each. The first is called COMMUNIST ACCENT ON YOUTH. It can be had from

AMERICA'S FUTURE, INC., 542 Main St., New Rochelle, N.Y.

I understand you fill orders for books at regular list price, postage paid. Please mail me ..... copies of the book noted below.

My check for $..... is enclosed ☐ Please bill me ☐

Book I want is .....

NAME .....

Address ..... Date .....

Pepperdine College, P.O. Box 247, Los Angeles 44, Calif., for $140.00. The second is called RED CHINA - OUTLAW and can be had from Communications Distribution, Inc., 79 Madison Ave., New York 16, N.Y., for $125.00. COMMUNIST ACCENT ON YOUTH is also available for TV use with permission of the producers. Why not ask your local TV station to show it?

"To lick the Russians we suggest Soapy Williams be sent over to bankrupt the country, and Jimmy Hoffa to ruin it by union demands." *- Overland Park (Kans.) Johnson County HERALD*

AMERICA'S FUTURE, INC.
542 Main St., New Rochelle, N Y